Warsaw University of Technology

COURSE OFFERED IN THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

| Code of the course | | 4606 55 000000 | GK 0146 | K-0146 Name of the course | Polish | O pi na | Ocena wyników badawczych, polityka publikacyjna, redagowanie artykułów naukowych i projektów badawczych | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|--|----------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | 4000 23 00000 | | | | English | R p sc | Research data evaluation, publishing policy, scientific papers writing and scientific proposals preparation | | |
| Type of the course | | specialty | | | | | | | | |
| Course coordinator | | Prof. dr hab. Ad | lam Proń | | | | | | | |
| Implementing unit | | | | | ntific discipline / disciplines* | Chemistry, chemical engineering/materials science | | | | |
| Level of education | | PhD/MSc (exceptionally for outstanding MSc candidates performing research work) | | | Semester | spring semester | | | | |
| Language of the cour | rse | English | | | | | | | | |
| Type of assessment: | | Preparation of a paper and a grant proposal | | N | umber of hours in a semester | 30 | | ECTS credits | | 4 |
| Minimum number of participants | | 10 | | N | Aaximum number of participants | 25 | | Available for students (BSc, MSc) | | For PhD and outstanding MSc students |
| Type of classes | | | Lecture | | Auditory classes | Project classe | S | Laboratory | | Seminar |
| Number of hours | | in a week | 3 | | | 3 | | | | |
| Number of hours | in | a semester | 6 | | | 24 | | | | |

* does not apply to the Researcher's Workshop

1. Prerequisites

MSc in chemistry, chemical engineering or materials science. In the case of highly motivated students BSc in chemistry, chemical engineering or materials science

2. Course objectives

PhD or exceptional research-oriented MSc students should get acquainted with the main principles of scientific papers and research proposals writing in the domains of chemistry, chemical engineering and materials science.

| 3. | Course content | (separate for | r each type of c | lasses) |
|----|----------------|---------------|------------------|---------|
|----|----------------|---------------|------------------|---------|

Lecture

In the first part of the course the lecturer will discuss in detail strong and weak points of the principal publishing companies (ACS, RSC, Elsevier, Wiley, Springer, APS, IEEE, MDPI). This will be followed by a presentation of a set of specific (yet unpublished) scientific data which will then be used by PhD students for writing a scientific paper. In the second part of the course the students, working in groups of four, will write particular parts of this paper under the lecturer's supervision: Abstract, Introduction, Experimental, Results and Discussion and Conclusions. Then the lecturer will comparatively discuss the completed papers, indicating their strong and weak points. In the second part of the course, the lecturer will present a different set of experimental data which will be then used by the PhD, working in the same groups, for writing an European grant proposal.

Laboratory

4. Learning outcomes

Warsaw University of Technology

| | Learning outcomes description | Reference to the learning outcomes of the WUT DS | Learning outcomes verification methods* | | |
|--------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Knowledge | | | | | |
| K01 | Students will get acquainted with principal rules governing the preparation of a scientific paper. | SD_W3 | Evaluation of the prepared paper and the grant proposal | | |
| K02 | Students will get basic knowledge of composing research proposals. | SD_W2; SD_W3 | Evaluation of the prepared paper and the grant proposal | | |
| КОЗ | Students will get familiar with principle companies editing scientific journals and books with special emphasis on their strong and weak points. | SD_W5 | Evaluation of the prepared paper and the grant proposal | | |
| Skills | | | | | |
| S01 | More efficient writing of scientific paper | SD_U1; SD_U4; SD_U6 | Evaluation of the prepared paper and the grant proposal | | |
| S02 | Better skills in research proposals preparation | SD_U1; SD_U3; SD_U6 | Evaluation of the prepared paper and the grant proposal | | |
| S03 | Better knowledge of current publishing policies | SD_U2;SD_U4; SD_U6 | Evaluation of the prepared paper and the grant proposal | | |
| Social competences | | | | | |
| SC01 | Common work in groups of four on complicated texts of scientific papers and research proposals should strongly improve students' capability of future participating in collaborative projects both in industry and in academics. | SD_K1; SD_K2; SD_K3; SD_K4; SD_K5 | Evaluation of the prepared paper and the grant proposal | | |

*Allowed learning outcomes verification methods: exam; oral exam; written test; oral test; project evaluation; report evaluation; presentation evaluation; active participation during classes; homework; tests

5. Assessment criteria

No examination. The grades will be attributed on the basis of the paper and the research proposal prepared and presented by each group.

6. Literature

Barbara Gastel, Robert Day "How to write and publish a scientific paper"
Marek Kosmulski "Dobrze wypełnione sloty, czyli jak być naukowcem w Polsce w latach 20. XX wieku."

| 7. PhD student's workload necessary to achieve the learning outcomes** | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| No. | Description | Number of hours | | | |
| 1 | Hours of scheduled instruction given by the academic teacher in the classroom | 30 | | | |
| 2 | Hours of consultations with the academic teacher, exams, tests, etc. | 20 | | | |
| 3 | Amount of time devoted to the preparation for classes, preparation of presentations, reports, projects, homework | 40 | | | |
| 4 | Amount of time devoted to the preparation for exams, test, assessments | 20 | | | |

Warsaw University of Technology

| Total number of hours | 110 |
|--|-----|
| ECTS credits | 4 |
| ** 1 ECTS = 25-30 hours of the PhD students work (2 ECTS = 60 hours; 4 ECTS = 110 hours, etc | c.) |